

**Gabrielle Collier**

520044

**From:** Mike Donohue  
**Sent:** Thursday, October 11, 2001 1:22 PM  
**To:** 'Dave Parker'  
**Cc:** Gabrielle Collier  
**Subject:** RE: Re: [Fwd: FW: KatieSoft]

Hi Dave --

I have not received any payment or instructions from you in the KatieSoft patent matter. When I drafted the previous amendment without receiving any advance fee deposit, you indicated that you would work to complete the sale of the company and pay the overdue A/R. However, your email of August 25, 2001 indicates that you have not moved forward with the sale of the company, but were awaiting news on the patent prosecution. This is contrary to our arrangement.

The total A/R is now over \$51,000. We are unable to continue prosecution of the case without receiving advance fee deposits to cover the additional attorney fees. Accordingly, please forward an advance fee deposit in the amount of \$5,000 so we may continue to prosecute the application.

Please note that a response is due in the Patent Office by November 6, 2001. This time may be extended up to three more months with the payment of additional governmental fees. Please note that the application will be abandoned if we do not respond by February 6, 2002.

We ask that you provide us with the requested payment and instructions no later than October 30, 2001 to permit sufficient time to prepare and file a response. If you delay beyond that point, it will be necessary to increase the advance fee deposit to cover the additional out of pocket expenses for the extension fees.

Mike Donohue  
Seed Intellectual Property Law Group PLLC  
701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 6300  
Seattle, WA 98104  
Tel. (206) 622-4900  
Fax. (206) 682-6031  
E-mail miked@seedlaw.com

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Mike Donohue  
**Sent:** Friday, August 31, 2001 7:17 AM  
**To:** 'Dave Parker'  
**Cc:** Gabrielle Collier  
**Subject:** RE: Re: [Fwd: FW: KatieSoft]

The Examiner issued a second (non-final) Office Action. Claims 1-12 and 14-30 are rejected in light of newly cited references. The Examiner indicated that claims 13 and 31-35 would be allowable in independent form. We have two options at this point. One is to review the Office Action and cited references in detail and prepare a response. I have not reviewed the references and thus cannot offer any opinion as the relevance of the references. You should expect a detailed review of the references to cost approximately \$1500. An amendment/response may cost an additional \$1500.

The second alternative is to amend the claims to gain allowance of claims 13 and 31-35 and cancel the rejected claims. This would allow